#### B. CHIKVINIDZE

# NEW PROOF OF THE NOVIKOV CRITERION USING BACKWARD STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Using backward stochastic differential equations we give a new proof of well known Novikov's criterion.

## 1. The main result

Let us given a basic probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  with right continuous filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t<\infty}$ and let  $\mathcal{F}_{\infty}$  be the smallest  $\sigma$ -Algebra containing all  $\mathcal{F}_t$ , t > 0. With this let T be some deterministic time (which might be equal to  $\infty$ ) and M be a continuous local martingale on the interval [0; T] with  $\langle M \rangle_T < \infty P$  a. s.

Denote by  $\mathcal{E}(M)$  the stochastic exponential of a local martingale M:

$$\mathcal{E}_t(M) = \exp\{M_t - \frac{1}{2}\langle M \rangle_t\}.$$

Condition  $\langle M \rangle_T < \infty$  *P* a. s. implies that  $\mathcal{E}_t(M) > 0$  a. s. for all  $t \in [0; T]$ , which allows us to define  $\mathcal{E}_{t,T}(M)$  as  $\mathcal{E}_{t,T}(M) = \mathcal{E}_T(M)/\mathcal{E}_t(M)$ .

Now define the process  $Y_t = E[\mathcal{E}_{t,T}(M)|\mathcal{F}_t]$ . In our case  $\mathcal{E}_t(M)$  is a positive local martingale which implies that  $\mathcal{E}_t(M)$  is a supermartingale. So we have  $E[\mathcal{E}_T(M)|\mathcal{F}_t] \leq \mathcal{E}_t(M)$  which is equivalent to the inequality:

$$0 < Y_t = E\left[\mathcal{E}_{t,T}(M) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right] \le 1.$$

Since  $Y_t \mathcal{E}_t(M)$  is a martingale and  $\mathcal{E}_t(M) > 0$ ,  $Y_t$  will be a semimartingale and let

$$Y_{t} = Y_{0} + A_{t} + \int_{0}^{t} Z_{s} dM_{s} + L_{t}$$

be the semimartingale decomposition of Y, where  $Z_s$  is a predictable process and L is a local martingale orthogonal to M.

**Lemma 1.1.** The process  $Y_t = E[\mathcal{E}_{t,T}(M)|\mathcal{F}_t]$  satisfies the following linear backward stochastic differential equation (BSDE):

$$\begin{cases} Y_t = Y_0 - \int_0^t Z_s d\langle M \rangle_s + \int_0^t Z_s dM_s + L_t, \\ Y_T = 1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Applying Ito's formula for  $Y_t \mathcal{E}_t(M)$  we obtain:

$$\begin{split} Y_t \mathcal{E}_t(M) &= Y_0 + \int_0^t \mathcal{E}_s(M) dA_s + \int_0^t \mathcal{E}_s(M) Z_s dM_s + \int_0^t \mathcal{E}_s(M) dL_s + \\ &+ \int_0^t Y_{s-} \mathcal{E}_s(M) dM_s + \int_0^t Z_s \mathcal{E}_s(M) d\langle M \rangle_s. \end{split}$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 60G44.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$  Local martingale, Stochastic exponential, Backward stochastic differential equation.

Since  $Y_t \mathcal{E}_t(M)$  is a local martingale we obtain

$$\int_0^t \mathcal{E}_s(M) dA_s + \int_0^t Z_s \mathcal{E}_s(M) d\langle M \rangle_s = \int_0^t \mathcal{E}_s(M) d\left(\int_0^s Z_u d\langle M \rangle_u + A_s\right) \equiv 0$$

and therefore  $\int_0^t Z_s d\langle M \rangle_s + A_t \equiv 0$  and  $A_t \equiv -\int_0^t Z_s \langle M \rangle_s$ . So we need to insert this expression in semimartingale decomposition of Y:

$$Y_t = Y_0 - \int_0^t Z_s d\langle M \rangle_s + \int_0^t Z_s dM_s + L_t.$$

Now we are ready to prove Novikov's ([1]) criterion:

**Theorem 1.1.** For continuous local martingale M, if  $Ee^{\frac{1}{2}\langle M \rangle_T} < \infty$ , then  $\mathcal{E}(M)$  is a uniformly integrable martingale.

*Proof.* For simplicity, we will prove theorem when the filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$  is continuous, which means that every local martingale with respect to this filtration is continuous. Then we will make a remark for the case of right continuous filtration.

Notice that  $EY_0 = E\mathcal{E}_T(M)$  and the stochastic exponential  $\mathcal{E}(M)$  is uniformly integrable, if and only if,  $EY_0 = 1$ . So using the BSDE tool and Lemma 1 we only need to show that  $EY_0 = 1$ . Let  $\beta > 0$  be any constant. According to the Ito formula for the process  $e^{-\beta Y_t + \frac{1}{2}\langle M \rangle_t}$  we obtain the following chain of equalities:

$$\begin{split} e^{-\beta Y_t + \frac{1}{2}\langle M \rangle_t} &= e^{-\beta Y_0} + \int_0^t e^{-\beta Y_s + \frac{1}{2}\langle M \rangle_s} \left(\beta Z_s + \frac{1}{2}\right) d\langle M \rangle_s + \\ &+ \frac{\beta^2}{2} \int_0^t e^{-\beta Y_s + \frac{1}{2}\langle M \rangle_s} Z_s^2 d\langle M \rangle_s + \frac{\beta^2}{2} \int_0^t e^{-\beta Y_s + \frac{1}{2}\langle M \rangle_s} d\langle L \rangle_s + \ local \ martingale = \\ &= e^{-\beta Y_0} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t e^{-\beta Y_s + \frac{1}{2}\langle M \rangle_s} (\beta Z_s + 1)^2 d\langle M \rangle_s + \frac{\beta^2}{2} \int_0^t e^{-\beta Y_s + \frac{1}{2}\langle M \rangle_s} d\langle L \rangle_s + \\ &+ \ local \ martingale. \end{split}$$

From this we deduce that for any constant  $\beta > 0$ ,  $e^{-\beta Y_t + \frac{1}{2}\langle M \rangle_t}$  is a local submartingale, but since it is majorized by integrable random variable  $e^{\frac{1}{2}\langle M \rangle_T}$ , it is a submartingale. So we can write the submartingale inequality:

(1) 
$$Ee^{-\beta Y_0} \le e^{-\beta} E[e^{\frac{1}{2} \langle M \rangle_T}].$$

According to Jensen's inequality  $e^{-\beta EY_0} \leq E e^{-\beta Y_0}$ . So using this, from inequality (1) we obtain:

$$e^{\beta(1-EY_0)} < Ee^{\frac{1}{2}\langle M \rangle_T}.$$

Taking limit as  $\beta \to \infty$  we get that  $EY_0 \ge 1$ , which in our case is equivalent to the  $E\mathcal{E}_T(M) = EY_0 = 1$ . This means that  $\mathcal{E}(M)$  is a uniformly integrable martingale.

Remark 1.1. In case of right continuous filtration the Ito formula representation of  $e^{-\beta Y_t + \frac{1}{2} \langle M \rangle_t}$  requires an additional term

(2) 
$$\sum_{0 < s \le t} e^{-\beta Y_{s-} + \frac{1}{2} \langle M \rangle_s} \left( e^{-\beta \bigtriangleup Y_s} + \beta \bigtriangleup Y_s - 1 \right)$$

where  $\Delta Y_s$  denotes the jumps of the process Y. Since  $e^{-\beta \Delta Y_s} + \beta \Delta Y_s - 1 \ge 0$ , the

## B. CHIKVINIDZE

process in (2) will be increasing, so  $e^{-\beta Y_t + \frac{1}{2} \langle M \rangle_t}$  remains to be submartingale. After that the proof continues by the exactly same way as it was done in case of continuous filtration.

**Acknowledgements.** I am grateful to unknown referee for very helpful and important comments.

#### References

1. A. A. Novikov, On an identity for stochastic integrals, Theor. Prob. Appl. 17 (1972), 717-720.

Institute of Cybernetics of Georgian Technical University, Georgian-American University, Business school, 8 M. Aleksidze Srt., Tbilisi 0160, Georgia

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \texttt{beso.chiqvinidzeQgmail.com}$