

I. P. BLAZHIEVSKA

## ON ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF THE ERROR TERM IN CROSS-CORRELOGRAM ESTIMATION OF RESPONSE FUNCTIONS IN LINEAR SYSTEMS

The problem of estimation of an unknown response function of a linear system with inner noises is considered. We suppose that the response function of the system belongs to  $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ . Integral-type sample input-output cross-correlograms are taken as estimators of the response function. The inputs are supposed to be zero-mean stationary Gaussian processes that are close, in some sense, to a white noise. Both the asymptotic normality of finite-dimensional distributions of the normalized error term in the cross-correlogram estimation and the asymptotic normality in the space of continuous functions are discussed.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider a time-invariant casual continuous linear Volterra system with inner noises and a response function  $H = (H(\tau), \tau \in \mathbb{R})$ . This means that the real-valued function  $H$  satisfies the condition  $H(\tau) = 0$ ,  $\tau < 0$ , and the response of the system to an input process  $X(t)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , has the form

$$(1) \quad U(t) = \int_0^\infty H(\tau)X(t - \tau) d\tau + Z(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where the process  $Z(t)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , describes inner noises of the system.

Let us focus on the problem of estimation of the unknown function  $H$  by observations of responses of the system to certain input signals. To solve this problem, a lot of deterministic methods exist, as well as statistical approaches. The latter are based on a perturbation of the system by stationary stochastic processes and the further analysis of some characteristics of both input and output processes [3, 5, 13]. For the estimation of the stability or instability of the system, the methods of periodograms or cross-correlograms may be useful (see [1, 4] or [6, 9], respectively). In the cross-correlogram method, the sample correlograms between input and output processes are taken as estimators for  $H$ . Such an approach is suitable, when the input process is close, in some sense, to the Gaussian white noise ([8], [10]–[12]).

In work [7], we used the method of integral-type correlograms for the estimation of the response function  $H \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$ . Both the asymptotic normality of finite-dimensional distributions of the centered estimators and their asymptotic normality in the space of continuous functions were studied.

This paper continues the research started in [7] and contains the final results about the asymptotic normality of the normalized error term in the cross-correlogram estimation of  $H$ .

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 62M10; Secondary 60F17.

*Key words and phrases.* Response function, sample cross-correlogram, asymptotic normality.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Assume that  $X_\Delta = (X_\Delta(t), t \in \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\Delta > 0$ , is a family of measurable real-valued stationary zero-mean Gaussian processes that disturb system (1). Let  $f_\Delta = (f_\Delta(\lambda), \lambda \in \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\Delta > 0$ , be a family of spectral densities of the processes  $X_\Delta$ . We suppose that these functions are nonnegative and continuous and satisfy the conditions

$$(2a) \quad f_\Delta(\lambda) = f_\Delta(-\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R};$$

$$(2b) \quad \sup_{\Delta > 0} \|f_\Delta\|_\infty < \infty;$$

$$(2c) \quad f_\Delta \in L_1(\mathbb{R});$$

$$(2d) \quad \exists c \in (0, \infty) \quad \forall a \in (0, \infty) : \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{-a \leq \lambda \leq a} \left| f_\Delta(\lambda) - \frac{c}{2\pi} \right| = 0;$$

$$(2e) \quad K_\Delta \in L_1(\mathbb{R}),$$

where  $K_\Delta(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\lambda t} f_\Delta(\lambda) dt$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , is the correlation function of  $X_\Delta$ .

By (1), the reaction of the system to an input signal  $X_\Delta$  is represented by

$$(3) \quad U_\Delta(t) = \int_0^\infty H(\tau) X_\Delta(t - \tau) d\tau + Z(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We assume that the inner noise  $(Z(t), t \in \mathbb{R})$  is a separable real-valued stationary zero-mean Gaussian process which is orthogonal to  $X_\Delta$ ; that is,  $\mathbf{E}X_\Delta(s)Z(t) = 0$ ,  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $(g(\lambda), \lambda \in \mathbb{R})$  be the spectral density of the process  $Z$ . It is a nonnegative measurable function which satisfies the conditions

$$(4a) \quad g(\lambda) = g(-\lambda);$$

$$(4b) \quad g \in L_1(\mathbb{R}).$$

The so-called *cross-correlogram* (or *the sample cross-correlation function*)

$$(5) \quad \widehat{H}_{T,\Delta}(\tau) = \frac{1}{cT} \int_0^T U_\Delta(t + \tau) X_\Delta(t) dt, \quad \tau \geq 0,$$

will be used as an estimator for  $H$ . Here,  $c$  is the constant from (2d), and  $T$  is the length of the averaging interval. The integrals in (3) and (5) are interpreted as a mean square Riemann integrals.

Generally speaking, for all  $T > 0$ ,  $\Delta > 0$ , and  $\tau \geq 0$ ,

$$H(\tau) \neq \mathbf{E}\widehat{H}_{T,\Delta}(\tau) = \frac{1}{c} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_\Delta(\tau - s) H(s) ds,$$

that is, the estimator  $\widehat{H}_{T,\Delta}$  is biased.

Consider the normalized error term

$$(6) \quad \widehat{W}_{T,\Delta}(\tau) = \sqrt{T}[\widehat{H}_{T,\Delta}(\tau) - H(\tau)], \quad \tau \geq 0.$$

The further results deal with asymptotic properties of  $\widehat{W}_{T,\Delta} = (\widehat{W}_{T,\Delta}(\tau), \tau \geq 0)$  as the parameters  $T, \Delta$  tend to infinity. Let us represent (6) as the sum

$$(7) \quad \widehat{W}_{T,\Delta}(\tau) = A_{T,\Delta}(\tau) + B_{T,\Delta}(\tau), \quad \tau \geq 0,$$

where

$$(8) \quad A_{T,\Delta}(\tau) = \sqrt{T}[\widehat{H}_{T,\Delta}(\tau) - \mathbf{E}\widehat{H}_{T,\Delta}(\tau)];$$

$$(9) \quad B_{T,\Delta}(\tau) = \sqrt{T}[\mathbf{E}\widehat{H}_{T,\Delta}(\tau) - H(\tau)].$$

From (7), the asymptotic properties of the process  $\widehat{W}_{T,\Delta}$  are characterized by properties of the stochastic process  $A_{T,\Delta} = (A_{T,\Delta}(\tau), \tau \geq 0)$  and the function  $B_{T,\Delta} = (B_{T,\Delta}(\tau), \tau \geq 0)$  as  $T, \Delta$  tend to infinity.

Now we eliminate the dependence of  $B_{T,\Delta}$  in the representation of  $\widehat{W}_{T,\Delta}$ . For this purpose, note some conditions on the order of local smoothness of  $H$  and the character of tending  $T, \Delta$  to infinity (see [8]).

Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . We say that  $H \in Lip_\alpha[0, \infty)$ , if there exist constants  $\delta > 0$  and  $M > 0$  such that

$$\forall t, s \geq 0 \quad \exists \delta > 0 : |t - s| < \delta \Rightarrow |H(t) - H(s)| < M|t - s|^\alpha.$$

(That is,  $H$  is uniformly on  $[0, \infty)$  satisfies the Lipschitz condition with index  $\alpha$ .)

*Example 2.1.* (A) Let  $H(\tau) = \frac{\cos \mu \tau}{(1+\tau)^\beta}$ ,  $\tau \geq 0$ , where  $\mu > 0$  and  $\beta \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ . Then  $H \in Lip_1[0, \infty) \cap L_2(\mathbb{R})$  (here, the Lipschitz constant  $M = \mu + \beta$ ), and its Fourier–Plancherel transform has the form

$$H^*(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left[ e^{-i(\lambda+\mu)} \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{i(\lambda+\mu)\tau}}{\tau^\beta} d\tau + e^{-i(\lambda-\mu)} \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{i(\lambda-\mu)\tau}}{\tau^\beta} d\tau \right], & \lambda \neq \pm\mu, \\ +\infty, & \lambda = \pm\mu. \end{cases}$$

(B) Let  $H(\tau) = \frac{1}{1+\tau}$ ,  $\tau \geq 0$ . Then  $H \in Lip_\alpha[0, \infty) \cap L_2(\mathbb{R})$  for  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  (here, Lipschitz constant  $M = \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$  for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  or  $M = 1$  for  $\alpha = 1$ , respectively), and its Fourier–Plancherel transform has the form

$$H^*(\lambda) = \begin{cases} e^{-i\lambda} \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{i\lambda\tau}}{\tau} d\tau, & \lambda \neq 0, \\ +\infty, & \lambda = 0; \end{cases}$$

(C) Let  $H(\tau) = \frac{1+\tau}{1+\tau^2}$ ,  $\tau \geq 0$ . Then  $H \in Lip_\alpha[0, \infty) \cap L_2(\mathbb{R})$  for  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  (here, the Lipschitz constant  $M = \left\| \frac{1-2x-x^2}{(1+x^2)^2} \right\|_{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$  for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  or  $M = 2\frac{1}{4}$  for  $\alpha = 1$ , respectively), and its Fourier–Plancherel transform has the form

$$H^*(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \int_0^\infty e^{i\lambda\tau} \frac{1+\tau}{1+\tau^2} d\tau, & \lambda \neq 0, \\ +\infty, & \lambda = 0. \end{cases}$$

Given  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . Assume that  $T \rightarrow \infty, \Delta \rightarrow \infty$  in such a way that

$$(10a) \quad \sqrt{T} \left[ 1 - \frac{2\pi f_\Delta(0)}{c} \right] \rightarrow 0;$$

$$(10b) \quad \forall \delta > 0 : \sqrt{T} \int_\delta^\infty K_\Delta(t) dt \rightarrow 0;$$

$$(10c) \quad \forall \delta > 0 : T \int_\delta^\infty K_\Delta^2(t) dt \rightarrow 0;$$

$$(10d) \quad \exists \delta > 0 : \sqrt{T} \int_{-\delta}^\delta |K_\Delta(t)| |t|^\alpha dt \rightarrow 0.$$

*Example 2.2.* Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  and  $H \in Lip_\alpha[0, \infty) \cap L_2(\mathbb{R})$ . The spectral densities  $f_\Delta$  and the correlation functions  $K_\Delta$  of the processes  $X_\Delta$  are

$$(A) \quad f_\Delta = \left( \frac{c}{2\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda^2}{\Delta}\right), \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \right) \text{ and } K_\Delta = \left( \frac{c}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta}{\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta t^2}{4}\right), t \in \mathbb{R} \right);$$

$$(B) \quad f_\Delta = \left( \frac{c}{2\pi} \frac{\Delta}{\Delta + \lambda^2}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \right) \text{ and } K_\Delta = \left( c\sqrt{\Delta} \exp\left(-\sqrt{\Delta}t\right), t \in \mathbb{R} \right),$$

and satisfy conditions (2a) - (2e) and (10a) - (10d), if  $T \rightarrow \infty, \Delta \rightarrow \infty$  in such a way that

$$T\Delta^{-\alpha} \rightarrow 0.$$

Further, we will use the following assertion (see [8]):

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ;  $H \in Lip_\alpha[0, \infty) \cap L_2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $T \rightarrow \infty, \Delta \rightarrow \infty$  in such a way that conditions (10a) - (10d) hold true. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & \forall \tau \geq 0 \quad B_{T,\Delta}(\tau) \rightarrow 0; \\ (ii) \quad & \forall a > 0 \quad \sup_{\tau \in [0, a]} |B_{T,\Delta}(\tau)| \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

In work [7], it was shown that if  $H \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $g \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$ , then the correlation function of  $A_{T,\Delta}$  for any  $\tau_1, \tau_2 \geq 0$  has the form

$$\begin{aligned} (11) \quad \mathbf{E}A_{T,\Delta}(\tau_1)A_{T,\Delta}(\tau_2) &= \frac{2\pi}{c^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ e^{i(\tau_1 - \tau_2)\lambda_2} (|H^*(\lambda_2)|^2 f_\Delta(\lambda_2) + g(\lambda_2)) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + e^{i(\tau_1\lambda_1 + \tau_2\lambda_2)} H^*(\lambda_1)H^*(\lambda_2)f_\Delta(\lambda_2) \right] \Phi_T(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) f_\Delta(\lambda_1) d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Phi_T$  is the Fejer kernel; that is,

$$\Phi_T(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi T} \left( \frac{\sin(T\lambda/2)}{\lambda/2} \right)^2, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

and  $H^*$  is the Fourier-Plancherel transform of  $H$  in  $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

The limit  $C_\infty(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  of correlation function from (11) as  $T, \Delta \rightarrow \infty$  has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} (12) \quad C_\infty(\tau_1, \tau_2) &= \lim_{T, \Delta \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}A_{T,\Delta}(\tau_1)A_{T,\Delta}(\tau_2) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ e^{i(\tau_1 - \tau_2)\lambda} \left( |H^*(\lambda)|^2 + \frac{2\pi}{c} g(\lambda) \right) + e^{i(\tau_1 + \tau_2)\lambda} (H^*(\lambda))^2 \right] d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

### 3. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF THE CORRELATION FUNCTION OF $\widehat{W}_{T,\Delta}$

In this section, we consider the asymptotic behavior of the correlation function of  $\widehat{W}_{T,\Delta}$  as  $T$  and  $\Delta$  tend to infinity.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume that  $g \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$ ; for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , the response function  $H \in Lip_\alpha[0, \infty) \cap L_2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $T \rightarrow \infty, \Delta \rightarrow \infty$  in such a way that conditions (10a) - (10d) are satisfied. Then the relation*

$$\mathbf{E}\widehat{W}_{T,\Delta}(\tau_1)\widehat{W}_{T,\Delta}(\tau_2) \rightarrow C_\infty(\tau_1, \tau_2)$$

holds for all  $\tau_1, \tau_2 \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* The statement of Theorem 3.1 follows immediately from the representation

$$\mathbf{E}\widehat{W}_{T,\Delta}(\tau_1)\widehat{W}_{T,\Delta}(\tau_2) = B_{T,\Delta}(\tau_1)B_{T,\Delta}(\tau_2) + \mathbf{E}A_{T,\Delta}(\tau_1)A_{T,\Delta}(\tau_2),$$

Lemma 2.1 (part (i)), and formula (12). □

4. ASYMPTOTIC NORMALITY OF FINITE-DIMENSIONAL DISTRIBUTIONS OF  $\widehat{W}_{T,\Delta}$ 

Theorem 3.1 demonstrates that the function  $C_\infty$  defined in (11) is positive semi-definite on  $[0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$ . So, there exists a zero-mean real-valued Gaussian process  $A = (A(\tau), \tau \geq 0)$  with the correlation function  $C_\infty$ ; that is,

$$\mathbf{E}A(\tau_1)A(\tau_2) = C_\infty(\tau_1, \tau_2).$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that the process  $A$  is defined on the same probability space  $\{\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P}\}$  as the processes  $A_{T,\Delta}$  and  $\widehat{W}_{T,\Delta}$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Assume that  $g \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$ ; for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , the response function  $H \in \text{Lip}_\alpha[0, \infty) \cap L_2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $T \rightarrow \infty, \Delta \rightarrow \infty$  in such a way that conditions (10a) - (10d) are satisfied. Then the relation*

$$(13) \quad \mathbf{E} \left[ \prod_{j=1}^m \widehat{W}_{T,\Delta}(\tau_j) \right] \rightarrow \mathbf{E} \left[ \prod_{j=1}^m A(\tau_j) \right]$$

holds for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and any  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m \geq 0$ .

In particular, all finite-dimensional distributions of the process  $(\widehat{W}_{T,\Delta}(\tau), \tau \geq 0)$  converge weakly to the corresponding finite-dimensional distributions of the Gaussian process  $(A(\tau), \tau \geq 0)$  by the given character of tending  $T$  and  $\Delta$  to infinity.

*Remark 4.1.* Theorem 4.1 refines results of [8] (see Theorem 3). To show that the analogous statements hold true, some additional assumptions on the Fourier–Plancherel transformation of the response function  $H$  are required, namely: 1)  $H^* \in L_1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ ; 2)  $H^*$  is continuous almost everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* From representation (7), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} \left[ \prod_{j=1}^m \widehat{W}_{T,\Delta}(\tau_j) \right] &= \mathbf{E} \sum_{k_1=0, k_2=0, \dots, k_m=0}^1 \left[ \prod_{j=1}^m A_{T,\Delta}^{k_j}(\tau_j) B_{T,\Delta}^{1-k_j}(\tau_j) \right] = \\ &= \sum_{k_1=0, k_2=0, \dots, k_m=0}^1 \left[ \mathbf{E} \prod_{j=1}^m A_{T,\Delta}^{k_j}(\tau_j) \right] \prod_{j=1}^m B_{T,\Delta}^{1-k_j}(\tau_j). \end{aligned}$$

By the given character of tending  $T$  and  $\Delta$  to infinity, the last formula together with Lemma 2.1 (part (i)) and Theorem 4.1 [7] yield

$$\mathbf{E} \left[ \prod_{j=1}^m \widehat{W}_{T,\Delta}(\tau_j) \right] \rightarrow \mathbf{E} \left[ \prod_{j=1}^m A_{T,\Delta}(\tau_j) \right] \rightarrow \mathbf{E} \left[ \prod_{j=1}^m A(\tau_j) \right]$$

for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\tau_j \geq 0, j = 1, \dots, m$ . So, we proved formula (13).

By the Markov theorem (see, [2]), the weak convergence of finite-dimensional distributions of the process  $(\widehat{W}_{T,\Delta}(\tau), \tau \geq 0)$ , to the corresponding finite-dimensional distributions of the process  $(A(\tau), \tau \geq 0)$ , takes place, since the Gaussian process  $(A(\tau), \tau \geq 0)$  is uniquely determined by its moments.  $\square$

5. ASYMPTOTIC NORMALITY OF  $\widehat{W}_{T,\Delta}$  IN THE SPACE OF CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

In addition to Theorem 4.1, it is natural to study the asymptotic normality of  $\widehat{W}_{T,\Delta}$  in the space of continuous functions. Assume that  $A_{T,\Delta}, \widehat{W}_{T,\Delta}, T > 0, \Delta > 0$ , and  $A$  are separable processes. We use the notation  $C[0, a], a > 0$ , for the space of real-valued continuous functions defined on  $[0, a]$  and endowed with uniform norm. In what follows,

we write  $\widehat{W}_{T,\Delta} \xrightarrow{C[0,a]} A$  to denote the weak convergence of the process  $\widehat{W}_{T,\Delta}$  to the process  $A$  in the space  $C[0, a]$  by the given character of tending  $T$  and  $\Delta$  to infinity.

We now recall some tools related to Gaussian stochastic processes (see, e.g., [9]). Let  $S$  be a parameter set. A function  $\rho(t, s)$ ,  $t, s \in S$ , is called a pseudometric on  $S$  if it satisfies all axioms of a metric, with the exception that the set  $\{(t, s) \in S \times S : \rho(t, s) = 0\}$  may be wider than the diagonal  $\{(t, s) \in S \times S : t = s\}$ . We write  $N_\rho(S, \varepsilon)$  for the minimal number of closed  $\rho$ -balls of radius  $\varepsilon > 0$ , whose centers lie in  $S$  and which cover  $S$ . If there is no finite covering of  $S$ , then  $N_\rho(S, \varepsilon) = \infty$ . Further, let  $\mathcal{H}_\rho(S, \varepsilon) = \log N_\rho(S, \varepsilon)$  be a metric entropy of the set  $S$  with respect to  $\rho$ . For any  $\beta > 0$ , the inequality  $\int_{0+} \mathcal{H}_\rho^\beta(S, \varepsilon) d\varepsilon < \infty$  is always interpreted in the sense that, for some (and, hence, for all)  $u > 0$ , we have  $\int_0^u \mathcal{H}_\rho^\beta(S, \varepsilon) d\varepsilon < \infty$ .

Consider the function [7]

$$\sigma_{H,g}(\tau) = \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sin^2 \frac{\tau\lambda}{2} (|H^*(\lambda)|^2 + g(\lambda)) d\lambda \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \tau \geq 0.$$

Since  $H \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $g \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$ , this function is well-defined and generates the following two pseudometrics:  $\sigma(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \sigma_{H,g}(|\tau_1 - \tau_2|)$  and  $\sqrt{\sigma}(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \sqrt{\sigma(\tau_1, \tau_2)}$ ,  $\tau_1, \tau_2 \geq 0$ . Note that if  $H^*(\lambda) \neq 0$  and  $g(\lambda) \neq 0$  simultaneously on the set of a positive Lebesgue measure, then  $\sigma$  and  $\sqrt{\sigma}$  are metrics.

For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , put  $\mathcal{H}_\sigma(\varepsilon) = \mathcal{H}_\sigma([0, 1], \varepsilon)$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_{\sqrt{\sigma}}(\varepsilon) = \mathcal{H}_{\sqrt{\sigma}}([0, 1], \varepsilon)$ . Since the pseudometrics  $\sigma$  and  $\sqrt{\sigma}$  depend on  $|\tau_1 - \tau_2|$  only, one has, for any  $a > 0$  and  $\beta > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{0+} \mathcal{H}_\sigma^\beta(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon < \infty &\iff \int_{0+} \mathcal{H}_\sigma^\beta([0, a], \varepsilon) d\varepsilon < \infty; \\ \int_{0+} \mathcal{H}_{\sqrt{\sigma}}(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon < \infty &\iff \int_{0+} \mathcal{H}_{\sqrt{\sigma}}([0, a], \varepsilon) d\varepsilon < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 5.1.** *Assume that  $g \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$ ; for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , the response function  $H \in \text{Lip}_\alpha[0, \infty) \cap L_2(\mathbb{R})$ , and the condition*

$$(14) \quad \int_{0+} \mathcal{H}_{\sqrt{\sigma}}(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon < \infty,$$

*is satisfied. Then, for any  $a > 0$ , the following statements hold true:*

- (I)  $A \in C[0, a]$  almost surely;
- (II)  $\widehat{W}_{T,\Delta} \in C[0, a]$  almost surely,  $T > 0, \Delta > 0$ ;

*Moreover, if  $T \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$  in such a way that conditions (10a) - (10d) are satisfied, then*

$$(III) \quad \widehat{W}_{T,\Delta} \xrightarrow{C[0,a]} A.$$

*In particular, by the given character of tending  $T$  and  $\Delta$  to infinity, for all  $x > 0$  and  $a > 0$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{\tau \in [0, a]} \left| \widehat{W}_{T,\Delta}(\tau) \right| > x \right\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{\tau \in [0, a]} |A(\tau)| > x \right\}.$$

*Remark 5.1.* Statement (I) of Theorem 5.1 holds true under a weaker condition than (14), namely,

$$(15) \quad \int_{0+} \mathcal{H}_\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon < \infty.$$

Note that (15) always holds if there exists  $\beta > 0$  such that (see [14])

$$\int_0^\infty (|H^*(\lambda)|^2 + g(\lambda)) \log^{1+\beta}(1 + \lambda) d\lambda < \infty.$$

*Remark 5.2.* Condition (14) holds if there exists  $\beta > 0$  such that (see [12])

$$\int_0^\infty (|H^*(\lambda)|^2 + g(\lambda)) \log^{4+\beta}(1 + \lambda) d\lambda < \infty.$$

*Proof.* Using formula (14), statement (I) was proved in paper [7] (see Theorem 5.1, part I)). The other statements of Theorem 5.1 immediately follow from formula (7), Lemma 2.1 (part (ii)), Theorem 5.1 [7] (parts II) and III)), and Theorem 4.1.  $\square$

#### CONCLUSION

This paper continues the research from [7] concerning the problem of the cross-correlogram estimation of an unknown response function of a linear system with inner noises. Main results are presented in Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 5.1 and deal with the asymptotic normality of finite-dimensional distributions of the estimates and their asymptotic normality in the space of continuous functions.

#### REFERENCES

1. H. Akaike, *On statistical estimation of the frequency response function of a system having multiple input*, Ann. Inst. Statist. Math. **17** (1965), 185–210.
2. P. Billingsley, *Convergence of Probability Measures*, Wiley, New York, 1968.
3. J. S. Bendat and A. G. Piersol, *Engineering Applications of Correlation and Spectral Analysis*, Wiley, New York, 1993.
4. R. Bentkus, *On asymptotic normality of the estimator of the spectral function*, Lithuanian Math. J. **12** (1972), no. 3, 3–17.
5. D. R. Brillinger, *Time Series: Data Analysis and Theory*, Holden Day, San Francisco, 1981.
6. V. V. Buldygin, *On the properties of an empirical correlogram of a Gaussian process with square integrable spectral density*, Ukrain. Math. J. **47** (1995), no. 7, 1006–1021.
7. V.V. Buldygin and I.P. Blazhievskaya, *On asymptotic behavior of cross-correlogram estimators of response functions in linear Volterra systems*, Theory of Stochastic Processes. Vol. **15 (31)**, no. 2 (2009), 84–98.
8. V. V. Buldygin and Fu Li, *On asymptotical normality of an estimation of unit impulse responses of linear systems I, II*, Theor. Probab. and Math. Statist. **54** (1997), 17–24; **55** (1997), 29–36.
9. V. V. Buldygin and Yu.V. Kozachenko, *Metric Characterization of Random Variables and Random Processes*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.
10. V. V. Buldygin and V. G. Kurotschka, *On cross-correlogram estimators of the response function in continuous linear systems from discrete observations*, Random Oper. and Stoch. Eq. **7** (1999), no. 1, 71–90.
11. V. Buldygin, F. Utzet, and V. Zaiats, *Cross-correlogram estimates of the response function in linear and bilinear Volterra systems* (Huskova, M., et al., Eds.), Prague Stochastics'98, Union of Czech Mathematicians and Physicists, Prague **1** (1998), 61–66.
12. V. Buldygin, F. Utzet, and V. Zaiats, *Asymptotic normality of cross-correlogram estimates of the response function*, Statistical Interference for Stochastic Processes **7** (2004), 1–34.
13. G. L. Cariolaro and G. B. Di Masi, *Second-order analysis of the input of a discrete-time Volterra system driven by white noise*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **26** (1980), 175–184.
14. R.M. Dudley, *Sample functions of the Gaussian process*, Ann. of Probab. **1** (1973), 66–103.

NATIONAL TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF UKRAINE "KPI", DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER MATHEMATICS, 37, PR. PEREMOHY, KIEV 02056, UKRAINE  
*E-mail address:* `matan@ntu-kpi.kiev.ua`